arXiv:1404.6578v3 [math.AP] 28 Jul 2014

MULTISCALE NONLOCAL FLOW IN A FRACTURED POROUS MEDIUM

JEAN LOUIS WOUKENG

Abstract. We study the flow generated by an incompressible viscoelastic fluid in a fractured porous medium. The model consists of a fluid flow governed by Stokes-Volterra equations evolving in a periodic double-porosity medium. Using the multiscale convergence method associated to some recent tools about the convergence of convolution sequences, we show that the equivalent macroscopic model is of the same type as the microscopic one, but in a fixed domain.

1. Introduction

The Stokes equations have been for a long time widely used to describe the flow at moderate velocity of incompressible viscous fluids. However, models of viscoelastic fluids have been proposed in the twentieth century. Some of these models take into account the history of the flow and are not subject to the Newtonian effects. Among these models is the one proposed by Oldroyd [23] in 1956, and which is commonly known as *Oldroyd model*. For details about the physical background and its mathematical modelling, we refer e.g., to Oldroyd [23], Joseph [12], Oskolkov [25, 26], Agranovich et al. [1] and Sobolevskii [35].

In this work we focus on flows of an incompressible viscoelastic fluid of Oldroyd type in a multiscale porous medium. The equations of motion arising from that model give rise to a system of integro-differential equations of Volterra-Stokes type (1.1) and (1.3) below; see e.g., [25, 26]. The main motivation for our study is twofold: First, the specific structure of the medium; second, the flow equations. Let us clarify this below.

(1) *The specific structure of the porous medium.* In 1990, Arbogast, Douglas and Hornung [3] developed a double porosity geometry which has been studied since then by many researchers. Very recently in 2010 and in 2012, Meirmanov [17, 18] showed that the model in [3] was not *"physically correct"* and then proposed the physically correct double porosity geometry which distinguishes the pores size from the cracks size and hence leads to *reiterated* homogenization; see also [29] for a very recent work about the periodic multiscale fractured porous media. Our approach of modelling the geometry of the domain will be a blend of the methods used in [17, 18] and [29, 33, 36]. More precisely, the medium represents a system of porous permeable blocks surrounded by an interconnected system of cracks (fractures), the blocks being less permeable than the fractures. Moreover the pores contained in the blocks are intertwined, and the system consisting of both pores and fractures is interconnected. This is therefore a typical fractured porous medium as defined

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B27, 76Bxx, 76D05.

Key words and phrases. Multiscale convergence, Oldroyd equations, double-porosity medium, convolution.

earlier in [17, 18, 29, 33, 36]. In such media, the blocks behave as sources of fluid alimenting the fissures (fractures) which are characterized by substantially higher flow rates and lower relative volume. For that reason, the average flow in the block is always delayed with respect to the flow in the fractures. This phenomenon is analytically characterized by the appearance of memory in the resulting model equation, which is the justification of the main physical expected feature of such media. To be more precise, the domain is described as follows.

Let $N \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $Y = [0,1)^N = \overline{Y}_1 \cup Y_2$ where Y_1 and Y_2 are two disjoint open sets representing the local structure of the porous matrix for Y_1, and the local structure of cracks for Y_2. We assume that Y_2 is connected and that the boundary of Y_1 is Lipschitz continuous. We set $G_1 = \cup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^N}(k + Y_1)$ and $G_2 = \mathbb{R}^N\backslash\overline{G}_1$. Next, let Z_1 and Z_2 be two disjoint open subsets of Y_1 such that $Y_1 = \overline{Z}_1 \cup Z_2$, Z_2 being connected. Z_1 is the local structure of the skeleton while Z_2 is the one of the pores. Set $H_1 = \cup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^N}(k + Z_1)$ and $H_2 = G_1\backslash\overline{H}_1$. H_2 is open and connected, representing the effective pore space. The crack space G_2 is also connected. Finally we assume that Y_2 and Z_2 have positive Lebesgue measure.

With this in mind, let Ω be an open bounded connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a small parameter. We define the fluid domain to be the union of both pores and cracks domains as follows. First set $\Omega_p^\varepsilon = \Omega \cap \varepsilon^2 H_2$ (the pores domain), $\Omega_c^\varepsilon = \Omega \cap \varepsilon G_2$ (the cracks domain), and define the fluid domain $\Omega_2^\varepsilon = \Omega_p^\varepsilon \cup \Omega_c^\varepsilon$, and the skeleton $\Omega_1^\varepsilon = \Omega\backslash\overline{\Omega}_2^\varepsilon$. It holds that $\Omega = \Omega_1^\varepsilon \cup \Gamma_{12}^\varepsilon \cup \Omega_2^\varepsilon$ (disjoint union) where $\Gamma_{12}^\varepsilon = \partial\Omega_1^\varepsilon \cap \partial\Omega_2^\varepsilon$ is the interface of Ω_1^ε and Ω_2^ε. Let ν_j $(j = 1, 2)$ denote the unit outward normal on $\partial\Omega_j^\varepsilon$.

As an illustration of the analytic construction made above, see figure 1 below.

Fig.1: Fractured porous medium

There are several ways to model fractured porous media. The classical and most studied model named double porosity model was introduced by Barenblatt et al. [4], and has been further developed in [39, 6, 3, 11, 13, 22, 30, 31, 34, 46, 8]. In contrast, very few works are devoted to multiscale media with the geometry similar to the one considered in this paper. We may cite [17, 18, 29, 27, 33, 36].

(2) *The flow equations.* Motivated by the above-mentioned phenomenological feature of our medium (the memory appearance), we found necessary to study in such media, the flow of fluid having memory. That is why we consider a generalized class of Oldroyd incompressible viscoelastic fluids including as a special case, the classical Newtonian flow of Stokes' type. They are modeled by a system of integro-differential equations in which all the coefficients and memory kernels depend on both fast and slow space– and time– variables. From the physical point of view, it means that the memory effects arising by meeting an obstacle decay in the surrounding of the next obstacle. For that simple reason, we can not expect using the Laplace transform to perform the homogenization process since the memory kernels depend on the fast time variable. Therefore, to achieve our goal, instead of using the Laplace transform, we use a direct method involving some results about the reiterated convergence of sequences defined by convolution (see Theorem 3.5 which is new in the context). Let us emphasize that although nonlocal in time terms can appear in some homogenization problems (see e.g. [29, 45]), our approach can handle more complicated homogenization problems with nonlocal terms in both time and space variables; see e.g. [44]. As far as we know, this is the first time that such a problem is considered in the literature. Therefore, taking into account both the

structure of the media and the model equations, we can see that our main result is new.

To be more precise, we consider a non-stationary flow of an incompressible viscoelastic non-Newtonian fluid governed by the Stokes system. The viscoelastic constitutive law associated to the momentum balance, and the continuity equations of the normal stress and velocity at the interface are given by (for a.e. $0 < t < T$, T being given)

$$\rho_1^\varepsilon \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \sigma_1^\varepsilon = \rho_1^\varepsilon f_1 \text{ in } \Omega_1^\varepsilon \tag{1.1}$$

$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_1^\varepsilon \tag{1.2}$$

$$\rho_2^\varepsilon \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \sigma_2^\varepsilon = \rho_2^\varepsilon f_2 \text{ in } \Omega_2^\varepsilon \tag{1.3}$$

$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_2^\varepsilon \tag{1.4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon = \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon \text{ on } \Gamma_{12}^\varepsilon \tag{1.5}$$

$$\sigma_1^\varepsilon \cdot \nu_1 = \sigma_2^\varepsilon \cdot \nu_1 \text{ on } \Gamma_{12}^\varepsilon \tag{1.6}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } (\partial\Omega_1^\varepsilon \cap \partial\Omega),\ \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } (\partial\Omega_2^\varepsilon \cap \partial\Omega) \tag{1.7}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(x,0) = \boldsymbol{u}^0(x) \text{ in } \Omega_1^\varepsilon \text{ and } \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(x,0) = \boldsymbol{v}^0(x) \text{ in } \Omega_2^\varepsilon. \tag{1.8}$$

In the above equations, denoting by I is the identity tensor,

$$\sigma_1^\varepsilon = -p_\varepsilon I + A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon + \int_0^t A_1^\varepsilon(x, t-\tau) \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(x,\tau) d\tau$$

is the stress tensor of the fluid in Ω_1^ε with density ρ_1^ε, velocity $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ and pressure p_ε while

$$\sigma_2^\varepsilon = -q_\varepsilon I + B_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon + \int_0^t B_1^\varepsilon(x, t-\tau) \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(x,\tau) d\tau$$

is the stress tensor of the fluid in Ω_2^ε with density ρ_2^ε, velocity $\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon$and pressure q_ε; $\rho_1^\varepsilon f_1$ and $\rho_2^\varepsilon f_2$ are the external body forces per volume. The functions ρ_j^ε $(j = 1, 2)$, A_i^ε and B_i^ε $(i = 0, 1)$ defined by $\rho_j^\varepsilon(x) = \rho_j(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$, $A_i^\varepsilon(x,t) = A_i(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon})$ and $B_i^\varepsilon(x,t) = B_i(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon})$ for $(x,t) \in Q = \Omega \times (0,T)$ (T a given positive real number) are constrained as follows:

(**A1**) $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_y^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau^+)^{N\times N})$ are $N \times N$ symmetric matrices satisfying the following assumption:

$$A_0\xi \cdot \xi \geq \alpha |\xi|^2,\ B_0\xi \cdot \xi \geq \alpha |\xi|^2 \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and a.e. in } \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}_{y,\tau}^{N+1}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a given constant not depending on x, t, y, τ and ξ, $\mathbb{R}_\zeta^m$ (integer $m \geq 1$) being denoting the numerical space $\mathbb{R}^m$ with variables $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbb{R}_\tau^+ = \mathbb{R}_\tau \cap [0, \infty)$;

(**A2**) $\rho_j \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega}; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_y^N))$ and there exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that $\Lambda^{-1} \leq \rho_j \leq \Lambda$ a.e. in $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_y^N$.

(**A3**) **Periodicity**. The characteristic functions χ_{Y_2} and χ_{Z_2} of the sets Y_2 and Z_2 are $[0,1)^N$-periodic, and the functions $A_i(x,t,\cdot,\cdot)$, $B_i(x,t,\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\rho_j(x,\cdot)$ are periodic in the following sense:

$$A_i(x,t,\cdot,\cdot),\ B_i(x,t,\cdot,\cdot) \in L^\infty_{\text{per}}(Y \times \mathcal{T})^{N\times N} \text{ for all } (x,t) \in \overline{Q} \tag{1.9}$$

$$\rho_j(x,\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{per}}(Y) \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega} \tag{1.10}$$

where $\mathcal{T} = [0,1)$ and the spaces L^p_{per} $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{per}}$ are defined below.

Remark 1.1. If we denote by χ_i^ε $(i=1,2)$ the characteristic function of the set Ω_i^ε, then it is important to express χ_1^ε in terms of the characteristic functions of the sets Y_2 and Z_2. Denoting by χ_c^ε and χ_p^ε the characteristic functions of the cracks and pores spaces in $\mathbb{R}^N$ respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_c^\varepsilon(x) &= \chi_{G_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \equiv \chi_{Y_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \text{ (obtained by } Y\text{-periodicity)}\\ \chi_p^\varepsilon(x) &= \left(1-\chi_{G_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\chi_{H_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\\ &\equiv \left(1-\chi_{Y_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\chi_{Z_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \text{ (obtained by } Z\text{-periodicity),}\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\chi_2^\varepsilon(x)=\chi_c^\varepsilon(x)+\chi_p^\varepsilon(x)=\chi_{Y_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)+\left(1-\chi_{Y_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\chi_{Z_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$$

and

$$\chi_1^\varepsilon(x)=1-\chi_2^\varepsilon(x), \text{ for } x\in\Omega.$$

Finally we assume that the functions $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(Q)^N$ and $\boldsymbol{u}^0, \boldsymbol{v}^0 \in L^2(\Omega)^N$ with $\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{u}^0 = \operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{v}^0 = 0$ in the sense of the distributions in Ω.

Our main objective in this work is to find the limiting behavior when $\varepsilon\to 0$, of the sequence of solutions to the system (1.1)-(1.8). In this respect, we prove the following result.

- Assuming that (**A**1)-(**A**3) hold true, let (for any $\varepsilon>0$) let $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon$) be the velocity field of the fluid in Ω_1^ε (resp. in Ω_2^ε). Let $\pi_\varepsilon=\chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon+\chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon$ (where χ_j^ε is the characteristic function of the set Ω_j^ε) be the global pressure and set

$$\mathbf{f}(x,t)=\iint_{Y\times Z}(\chi_1(y,z)\rho_1(x,y)f_1(x,t)+\chi_2(y,z)\rho_2(x,y)f_2(x,t))dydz, \text{ a.e. } (x,t)\in Q.$$

  There exist $\boldsymbol{u}\in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N)$ – the velocity of the fluid in the skeleton, $\boldsymbol{v}\in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N)$ – the velocity of the fluid in the pores and cracks system, and $p\in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R})$ such that, as $\varepsilon\to 0$, $\chi_1^\varepsilon\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\to\boldsymbol{u}$ in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak, $\chi_2^\varepsilon\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon\to\boldsymbol{v}$ in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak and $\pi_\varepsilon\to p$ in $L^2(Q)$-weak. Moreover $\boldsymbol{u}=(1-m_c)(1-m_p)\boldsymbol{u}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{v}_c+\boldsymbol{v}_p$ where $\boldsymbol{v}_c=m_c\boldsymbol{u}_0$ is the velocity of the fluid in the crack space and $\boldsymbol{v}_p=(1-m_c)m_p\boldsymbol{u}_0$ is the velocity of the fluid in the pore space, and m_p (resp. m_c) is the porosity of the pore (resp. crack) space and $(\boldsymbol{u}_0,p)$ is the unique solution to problem

$$\begin{cases}\rho\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{u}_0}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\mathcal{A}_0\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0+\int_0^t\mathcal{A}_1(x,t-\tau)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0(x,\tau)d\tau\right)+\nabla p=\mathbf{f} \text{ in } Q\\ \operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{u}_0=0 \text{ in } Q\\ \boldsymbol{u}_0=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\times(0,T)\\ \boldsymbol{u}_0(x,0)=(1-m_c)(1-m_p)\boldsymbol{u}^0(x)+(m_c+m_p(1-m_c))\boldsymbol{v}^0(x),\ x\in\Omega.\end{cases}$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove an existence result and a compactness result. In Section 3, we give necessary material about multiscale convergence together with its connection to convolution. Finally, Section 4 deals with the derivation of the limiting model in which we prove the main result of the paper.

We end this section with some notations. All functions are assumed real values and all function spaces are considered over $\mathbb{R}$. Let $Y=[0,1)^N$ and let $F(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a given function space. In the case when F is either L^p or $W^{1,p}$ $(1\le p\le\infty)$,

we denote by $F_{\rm per}(Y)$ the space of functions in $F_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that are Y-periodic. For $F=\mathcal{C}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y)$ the space of continuous functions over $\mathbb{R}^N$ which are Y-periodic. We denote by $F_{\#}(Y)$ the subspace of $F_{\rm per}(Y)$ consisting of functions u having mean value zero: $\int_Y u(y)dy=0$. To wit, $W^{1,p}_{\#}(Y)$ stands for the space of those functions $u\in W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which are Y-periodic and satisfy $\int_Y u(y)dy=0$. As special case, $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)=\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y)\cap\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Accordingly, we set $Z=[0,1)^N$, $\mathcal{T}=[0,1)$, and we define the corresponding spaces. Let A be a $m\times m$ matrix whose entries are functions of unknowns w, and let $\mathbf{u}$ be either a $m\times 1$ vector function or a $m\times m$ matrix. We will denote by $A(w)$ the value of A at w, while either $A\mathbf{u}$ or $A[\mathbf{u}]$ will stand for the product of the $m\times m$ matrix A by $\mathbf{u}$. If $\xi=(\xi_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq N}$ and $\eta=(\eta_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq N}\in\mathbb{R}^{N^2}$, we define the product $\xi\cdot\eta$ by $\xi\cdot\eta=\sum_{i,j=1}^N\xi_{ij}\eta_{ij}$.

2. Existence result and uniform estimates

Our first aim is to give an existence result. The main classical spaces involved in the mathematical study of incompressible fluid flows are spaces connected to kinetic energy, the boundary conditions and the conservation of mass. These spaces are here defined as follows:

$$V_\varepsilon=\{(v_1,v_2)\in V_\varepsilon^1\times V_\varepsilon^2:\gamma_1^\varepsilon v_1=\gamma_2^\varepsilon v_2 \text{ on } \Gamma_{12}^\varepsilon\}$$

and

$$H_\varepsilon=\{(v_1,v_2)\in L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)^N\times L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)^N:\operatorname{div}v_j=0 \text{ in } \Omega_j^\varepsilon \text{ and } v_j\cdot\nu_j=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_j^\varepsilon\}$$

where

$$V_\varepsilon^j=\{v\in H^1(\Omega_j^\varepsilon)^N:\operatorname{div}v_j=0 \text{ in } \Omega_j^\varepsilon \text{ and } \gamma_j^\varepsilon v_j=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_j^\varepsilon\cap\partial\Omega\}\ (j=1,2),$$

γ_j^ε being denoting the zero order trace on the boundary $\partial\Omega_j^\varepsilon$ of Ω_j^ε. The space H_ε is a Hilbert space with Hilbertian norm

$$\|(v_1,v_2)\|_{H_\varepsilon}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^2\|v_j\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_j^\varepsilon)^N}\right)^{\frac12}.$$

For $(v_1,v_2)\in V_\varepsilon$ we set

$$\|(v_1,v_2)\|_{V_\varepsilon}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^2\|\nabla v_j\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_j^\varepsilon)^{N^2}}\right)^{\frac12}.$$

The following holds true.

Lemma 2.1. *Equipped with $\|\cdot\|_{V_\varepsilon}$, V_ε is a Hilbert space.*

Proof. It is sufficient to verify that $\|\cdot\|_{V_\varepsilon}$ is a norm on V_ε. To that end, let $(v_1,v_2)\in V_\varepsilon$, and set $u=\chi_1^\varepsilon v_1+\chi_2^\varepsilon v_2$. Since $\gamma_1^\varepsilon v_1=\gamma_2^\varepsilon v_2$ on Γ_{12}^ε and $\gamma_j^\varepsilon v_j=0$ on $\partial\Omega_j^\varepsilon\cap\partial\Omega$ $(j=1,2)$, it holds that $\nabla u=\chi_1^\varepsilon\nabla v_1+\chi_2^\varepsilon\nabla v_2$ and $u=0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Thus $u\in H_0^1(\Omega)^N$. Using the general Poincaré inequality for punctured domains [28, Theorem 4], there exists a positive constant C depending only on Ω such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|u-\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u dx\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}&\leq C\left[\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\right]\\&= C\left[\|\nabla v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}+\|\nabla v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Now assume $\|(v_1,v_2)\|_{V_\varepsilon}=0$; then $\|\nabla v_j\|_{L^2(\Omega_j^\varepsilon)}=0$ $(j=1,2)$, hence

$$u-\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u dx=0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

We infer that $u=0$ a.e. in Ω since $u\in H_0^1(\Omega)^N$. This entails $\chi_j^\varepsilon u=0$, i.e. $v_j=0$ a.e. in Ω_j^ε. This is sufficient to conclude that $\|\cdot\|_{V_\varepsilon}$ is a norm on V_ε since the other properties are easily verified. □

Now, we assume in the sequel that H_ε is rather equipped with the inner product

$$((\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}))=\int_\Omega(\chi_1^\varepsilon\rho_1^\varepsilon u_1\cdot v_1+\chi_2^\varepsilon\rho_2^\varepsilon u_2\cdot v_2)dx \text{ for } \boldsymbol{u}=(u_1,u_2),\boldsymbol{v}=(v_1,v_2)\in H_\varepsilon,$$

which makes it a Hilbert space. This stems from the inequality $\Lambda^{-1}\le\rho_j^\varepsilon\le\Lambda$ a.e. in Ω. Keeping this in mind, the following continuous embeddings $V_\varepsilon\hookrightarrow H_\varepsilon\hookrightarrow V_\varepsilon'$ hold true.

Now, set $U_\varepsilon=(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon,\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon)$, $\mathcal{F}=(f_1,f_2)$ and $U^0=(\boldsymbol{u}^0,\boldsymbol{v}^0)$. If we choose $\boldsymbol{v}=(v_1,v_2)\in V_\varepsilon$ and multiply Eqns (1.1) and (1.3) by v_1 and v_2 respectively, and next sum up the resulting equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left((U_\varepsilon(t),\boldsymbol{v})\right)+\int_\Omega(\chi_1^\varepsilon A_0^\varepsilon(t)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t)\cdot\nabla v_1+\chi_2^\varepsilon B_0^\varepsilon(t)\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(t)\cdot\nabla v_2)\,dx \quad (2.1)\\ &+\int_0^t\left(\int_\Omega(\chi_1^\varepsilon A_1^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\cdot\nabla v_1+\chi_2^\varepsilon B_1^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(\tau)\cdot\nabla v_2)\,dx\right)d\tau\\ =\;&\left((\mathcal{F},\boldsymbol{v})\right).\end{aligned}$$

The linear mappings

$$V_\varepsilon\ni\boldsymbol{v}=(v_1,v_2)\to\int_\Omega(\chi_1^\varepsilon A_0^\varepsilon(t)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t)\cdot\nabla v_1+\chi_2^\varepsilon B_0^\varepsilon(t)\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(t)\cdot\nabla v_2)\,dx$$

and

$$V_\varepsilon\ni\boldsymbol{v}\to-\int_\Omega(\chi_1^\varepsilon A_1^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\cdot\nabla v_1+\chi_2^\varepsilon B_1^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(\tau)\cdot\nabla v_2)\,dx$$

belong to V_ε' and hence define two bounded linear operators $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)$ and $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(t,\tau)$ (for a.e. $0\le t\le T$ and $0\le\tau\le t\le T$) from V_ε into V_ε' as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)U_\varepsilon(t),\boldsymbol{v}\rangle &= \int_\Omega(\chi_1^\varepsilon A_0^\varepsilon(t)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t)\cdot\nabla v_1+\chi_2^\varepsilon B_0^\varepsilon(t)\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(t)\cdot\nabla v_2)\,dx,\\ \langle\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(t,\tau)U_\varepsilon(\tau),\boldsymbol{v}\rangle &= -\int_\Omega(\chi_1^\varepsilon A_1^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\cdot\nabla v_1+\chi_2^\varepsilon B_1^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(\tau)\cdot\nabla v_2)\,dx\\ \text{for } v &\in V_\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (2.1) tantamount to

$$\frac{dU_\varepsilon}{dt}(t)+\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)U_\varepsilon(t)=\int_0^t\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(t,\tau)U_\varepsilon(\tau)d\tau+\mathcal{F}\text{ in }V_\varepsilon' \quad (2.2)$$

$$U_\varepsilon(0)=U^0\text{ in }H_\varepsilon. \quad (2.3)$$

The following result holds.

Proposition 2.1. *It holds that*

$$\langle\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle\ge\alpha\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{V_\varepsilon}^2\ \textit{for all}\ \boldsymbol{v}\in V_\varepsilon. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. For $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, v_2) \in V_\varepsilon$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle &= \int_\Omega (\chi_1^\varepsilon A_0^\varepsilon(t)\nabla v_1 \cdot \nabla v_1 + \chi_2^\varepsilon B_0^\varepsilon(t)\nabla v_2 \cdot \nabla v_2)\, dx \\ &\geq \alpha \int_\Omega \left(\chi_1^\varepsilon |\nabla v_1|^2 + \chi_2^\varepsilon |\nabla v_2|^2\right) dx = \alpha \|\boldsymbol{v}\|^2_{V_\varepsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

□

We can now state and prove the existence result.

Theorem 2.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist two pairs $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon) \in L^2(0,T;V_\varepsilon) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H_\varepsilon)$ and $(p_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon) \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon) \times L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon))$ that solve (1.1)-(1.8). Moreover the vector-function $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon)$ is unique and belongs to $\mathcal{C}([0,T];H_\varepsilon)$, and $(p_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon)$ is unique up to a constant in the following sense:*

$$\int_{\Omega_1^\varepsilon} p_\varepsilon dx = 0 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega_2^\varepsilon} q_\varepsilon dx = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. We infer from Proposition 2.1 that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 in [24] are fulfilled. Therefore, appealing to the above cited result we obtain the existence and uniqueness of $(\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon) \in L^2(0,T;V_\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{C}([0,T];H_\varepsilon)$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). The existence of p_ε and q_ε satisfying (2.5) follows by the use of Propositions 1.1 and 1.2 of [37]. □

The next result provides us with uniform estimates.

Lemma 2.2. *Under assumptions* (**A1**)-(**A2**) *it holds that*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \left(\|\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \|\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\right) \leq C, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\int_0^T \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\right) dt \leq C \tag{2.7}$$

$$\|p_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon \times (0,T))} \leq C \text{ and } \|q_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon \times (0,T))} \leq C \tag{2.8}$$

$$\left\|\rho_1^\varepsilon \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;(V_\varepsilon^1)')} \leq C \text{ and } \left\|\rho_2^\varepsilon \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;(V_\varepsilon^2)')} \leq C \tag{2.9}$$

where C is a positive constant not depending on ε.

Proof. We multiply (1.1) and (1.3) respectively by $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon$. Then denoting by $*$ the convolution with respect to the time variable t, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\rho_1^\varepsilon \tfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}, \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\right) + \left(\rho_2^\varepsilon \tfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon}{\partial t}, \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon\right) + (A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon + A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + (B_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon + B_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon, \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon) = (\rho_1^\varepsilon f_1, \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) + (\rho_2^\varepsilon f_2, \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon),\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}&\tfrac{1}{2}\tfrac{d}{dt}\left\|(\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\tfrac{d}{dt}\left\|(\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)} \\ &\quad + (A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon + A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) + (B_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon + B_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon, \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad = (\rho_1^\varepsilon f_1, \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) + (\rho_2^\varepsilon f_2, \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to t,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|(\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}+\left\|(\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\\
&+2\int_0^t\left(A_0^\varepsilon\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon+A_1^\varepsilon*\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon,\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\right)d\tau+2\int_0^t\left(B_0^\varepsilon\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon+B_1^\varepsilon*\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon,\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon\right)d\tau\\
&=2\int_0^t\left[(\rho_1^\varepsilon f_1,\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)+(\rho_2^\varepsilon f_2,\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon)\right]d\tau+\left\|(\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{u}^0\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}+\left\|(\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{v}^0\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}.
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\int_0^t\left[(\rho_1^\varepsilon f_1,\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)+(\rho_2^\varepsilon f_2,\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon)\right]d\tau\\
\leq\ &\int_0^t\left(\left\|(\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}f_1(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}+\left\|(\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}f_2(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\right)d\tau\\
&+\int_0^t\left(\left\|(\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}+\left\|(\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\right)d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of (**A1**) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|(\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}+\left\|(\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\\
&+2\alpha\int_0^t\left(\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}+\|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\right)d\tau\\
\leq\ &-2\int_0^t\left[(A_1^\varepsilon*\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon,\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)+(B_1^\varepsilon*\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon,\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon)\right]d\tau\\
&+\int_0^t\left(\left\|(\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}+\left\|(\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\right)d\tau\\
&+\int_0^T\left(\left\|(\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}f_1(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}+\left\|(\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}f_2(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)}\right)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\int_0^t\left(A_1^\varepsilon*\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon,\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\right)d\tau\\
=\ &2\int_0^t\left(\int_0^\tau\left(\int_{\Omega_1^\varepsilon}A_1^\varepsilon(\tau-s)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(s)\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)dx\right)ds\right)d\tau\\
\leq\ &2\int_0^t\left(C\int_0^\tau\|A_1^\varepsilon(\tau-s)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}\,ds+\int_0^\tau\frac{\alpha}{2\tau}\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}\,ds\right)d\tau\\
\leq\ &\alpha\int_0^t\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}\,d\tau+C\int_0^t\left(\int_0^\tau\|\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)}\,ds\right)d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\int_0^t \left[(A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) + (B_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon, \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon)\right] d\tau \\ \leq\ & \alpha \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)} \right) d\tau \\ & + C \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\tau \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)} \right) ds \right) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \left\| (\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(t) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)} \\ & + \alpha \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)} \right) d\tau \\ \leq\ & C + \int_0^t \left(\left\| (\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \left\| (\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)} \right) d\tau \\ & + C \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\tau \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)} \right) ds \right) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Gronwall's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\rho_1^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(t) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \left\| (\rho_2^\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(t) \right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)} \\ & + \alpha \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1^\varepsilon)} + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_2^\varepsilon)} \right) d\tau \leq C \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$, where C is independent of ε and t. We therefore deduce (2.6) and (2.7). (2.9) follows immediately, and (2.8) is obtained by repeating the same arguments used in [7, Section 2.2] □

The next result deals with the compactness of the global velocity defined below by

$$\boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon = \chi_1^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon. \tag{2.10}$$

We also set $\rho^\varepsilon = \chi_1^\varepsilon \rho_1^\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon \rho_2^\varepsilon$, recalling that χ_j^ε $(j = 1, 2)$ denotes the characteristic function of the open set Ω_j^ε.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that the sequence $(\rho^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ weakly $*$-converges in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ to some real function ρ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, with $\rho(x) \neq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then the sequence $(\boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is relatively compact in the space $L^2(Q)^N$.*

Proof. The proof is copied on that of [8, Proposition 2.1]. □

3. Multiscale convergence and related convolution results

The letter E denotes throughout any ordinary sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $0 < \varepsilon_n \leq 1$ such that $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. ε will denote a generic element of E, and "$\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$" will henceforth be merely denoted by "$\varepsilon \to 0$". We assume throughout this section that Ω is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$. We also set $Y = Z = [0, 1)^N$.

Definition 3.1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. (1) A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ is said to *weakly multiscale converge in $L^p(\Omega)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega \times Y \times Z)$* if as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon(x)\psi\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right) dx \to \iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z} u_0(x,y,z)\psi(x,y,z)dxdydz$$

for every $\psi \in L^{p'}(\Omega; \mathcal{C}_{\text{per}}(Y \times Z))$ $(1/p' = 1 - 1/p)$. We express this by writing $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ reit. in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak.
(2) The sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ is said to *strongly multiscale converge in $L^p(\Omega)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega \times Y \times Z)$* if it is weakly multiscale convergent towards u_0 and further satisfies the following condition:

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \to \|u_0\|_{L^p(\Omega\times Y\times Z)} \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

We denote this by writing $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ reit. in $L^p(\Omega)$-strong.

The above definition has been introduced in [2] for the case $p = 2$ and later generalized to any $1 < p < \infty$ in [14] (see also [15, 16]).

The following two results are worth recalling; see e.g. [21, Theorems 3.1 and 3.5] (see also [2] for the case $p = 2$).

Theorem 3.1. *Any bounded sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ $(1 < p < \infty)$ possesses a subsequence which is weakly multiscale convergent in $L^p(\Omega)$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ be a bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ $(1 < p < \infty)$. Then there exist a subsequence E' of E and a triple of functions $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $u_1 \in L^p(\Omega; W^{1,p}_\#(Y))$ and $u_2 \in L^p(\Omega \times Y; W^{1,p}_\#(Z))$ such that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,*

$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \text{ in } W^{1,p}(\Omega)\text{-weak}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_i} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z_i} \text{ reit. in } L^p(\Omega)\text{-weak}, \ 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

The proof of the next result is copied on that of [32, Theorem 6].

Theorem 3.3. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $r \geq 1$ be such that $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1$. Suppose that $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ reit. in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak and $v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ reit. in $L^q(\Omega)$-strong, where $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega \times Y \times Z)$ and $v_0 \in L^q(\Omega \times Y \times Z)$. Then $u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \to u_0 v_0$ reit. in $L^r(\Omega)$-weak.*

As an immediate consequence of the preceding result, the following holds true.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^{p'}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ $(1 < p < \infty$ and $p' = p/(p-1))$ be two sequences such that:* (i) *$u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ reit. in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak;* (ii) *$v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ reit. in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$-strong;* (iii) *$(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then $u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \to u_0 v_0$ reit. in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak.*

The following result establishes a relationship between the limit of a multiscale convergent sequence and the limit of its translates.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ be a sequence such that $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ reit. in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak, where $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega\times Y\times Z)$. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and define $v_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(x+\xi)$ for $x \in \Omega - \xi$. Then there exist a subsequence E' of E and a couple $(r, s) \in Y \times Z$ such that $v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ reit. in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak when $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, where $v_0 \in L^p((\Omega - \xi) \times Y \times Z)$ is defined by $v_0(x, y, z) = u_0(x + \xi, y + r, z + s)$ for $(x, y, z) \in (\Omega - \xi) \times Y \times Z$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}\left(\xi/\varepsilon^i\right) = \xi/\varepsilon^i - \left[\xi/\varepsilon^i\right]$ $(i = 1, 2)$ where $\left[\xi/\varepsilon^i\right]$ denotes the integer part of $\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon^i}$. Then $\left(\mathcal{R}\left(\xi/\varepsilon^i\right)\right)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is a bounded sequence in $[0,1)^N$, hence there exist a subsequence E' of E and $(r,s) \in Y \times Z$ $(Y = Z = [0,1)^N)$ such that the following convergence results hold in the usual topology of $\mathbb{R}$:

$$\mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon}\right) \to r \text{ and } \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \to s \text{ as } E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{3.1}$$

This being so, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega - \xi)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y \times Z)$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega-\xi} u_\varepsilon(x+\xi)\varphi(x)\psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right) dx \\
= &\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon(x)\varphi(x-\xi)\psi\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x-\xi}{\varepsilon^2}\right) dx \\
= &\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon(x)\varphi(x-\xi)\left[\psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} - \frac{\xi}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{\xi}{\varepsilon^2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} - r, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2} - s\right)\right] dx \\
&+ \int_\Omega u_\varepsilon(x)\varphi(x-\xi)\psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} - r, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2} - s\right) dx \\
= &\ (I) + (II).
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\phi(y,z) = \psi(y-r, z-s)$, $(y,z) \in Y \times Z$. Then $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y \times Z)$, and thus, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(II) \ &\to \ \iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z} u_0(x,y,z)\varphi(x-\xi)\psi(y-r, z-s)dxdydz \\
&= \ \iiint_{(\Omega-\xi)\times Y\times Z} u_0(x+\xi, y+r, z+s)\varphi(x)\psi(y,z)dxdydz.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
|(I)| \ &\le \ C\left\|\psi\left(\cdot - \frac{\xi}{\varepsilon}, \cdot - \frac{\xi}{\varepsilon^2}\right) - \psi\left(\cdot - r, \cdot - s\right)\right\|_\infty \\
&= \ C\left\|\psi\left(\cdot - \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon}\right), \cdot - \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\right) - \psi\left(\cdot - r, \cdot - s\right)\right\|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

since ψ is $Y \times Z$-periodic, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ stands for the supremum norm. The uniform continuity of ψ and the convergence results (3.1) yield $(I) \to 0$ as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. The result follows from the boundedness of $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ in $L^p(\Omega - \xi)$. □

The next result deals with the convergence of convolution of sequences. Before we can proceed further, let $p, q, m \ge 1$ be real numbers satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$. Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be two sequences. Viewing u_ε as defined on the whole $\mathbb{R}^N$ (by taking its zero-extension off Ω) we define $u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$ by

$$(u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(\xi)v_\varepsilon(x-\xi)d\xi \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Then $u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon \in L^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and further

$$\|u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon\|_{L^m(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

As in [38] where the double convolution is defined, we define the *triple convolution* denoted by $**$ as follows. For $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N; L^p_{\rm per}(Y \times Z)) \equiv L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z)$ and

$v \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z)$, $u**v$ stands for the function

$$(u**v)(x,y,z) = \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z} u(\xi,r,s)v(x-\xi,y-r,z-s)d\xi drds,\ \ (x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z.$$

Then $u**v$ is well-defined and belongs to $L^m(\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z)$, and further satisfies

$$\|u**v\|_{L^m(\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z)} \leq \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z)} \|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z)}.$$

Now, if $u \in L^p(\Omega \times Y \times Z)$ and $v \in L^q(\Omega \times Y \times Z)$, we define $u**v$ just by viewing u and v as defined in the whole $\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z$; just take the zero-extension off Ω.

Bearing this in mind, the next result is in order.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ be as above. Suppose that, as $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ reit. in $L^p(\Omega)$-weak and $v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ reit. in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$-strong, where $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega \times Y \times Z)$ and $v_0 \in L^q(\Omega \times Y \times Z)$. Then, as $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,*

$$u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon \to u_0 **v_0 \text{ reit. in } L^p(\Omega)\text{-weak.}$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to the one of its homologue Theorem 2.6 in [44] (see also [43]). Since Theorem 2.6 in [44] involves almost periodicity and moreover is checked in the two-scale sense, it is suitable to repeat the proof here in the periodicity and multiscale frameworks for completeness. First and foremost, it is easy to see that the sequence $(u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ is bounded in $L^m(\Omega)$. Now, let $\eta > 0$ and let $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y \times Z))$ (the space of continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}^N$ into $\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y \times Z)$ with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^N$) be such that $\|v_0 - \psi_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z)} \leq \frac{\eta}{2}$. Since $v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ reit. in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$-strong, we have that $v_\varepsilon - \psi_0^\varepsilon \to v_0 - \psi_0$ reit. in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$-strong, hence $\|v_\varepsilon - \psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to \|v_0 - \psi_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z)}$ as $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. So, there is $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\|v_\varepsilon - \psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \eta \text{ for } E \ni \varepsilon \leq \alpha. \tag{3.2}$$

If we still denote by u_ε the extension by zero of u_ε outside Ω, then we have, for any $f \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega; \mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y \times Z))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon)(x) f\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right) dx &= \int_\Omega \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t) v_\varepsilon(x-t) dt\right) f\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_\varepsilon(x-t) f\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right) dx\right] dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_\varepsilon(x) f\left(x+t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} + \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right) dx\right] dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v_\varepsilon(x) - \psi_0^\varepsilon(x)) f^\varepsilon(x+t) dx\right] dt \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi_0^\varepsilon(x) f^\varepsilon(x+t) dx\right) dt \\
&= (I) + (II).
\end{aligned}$$

Firstly, $(I) = \int_\Omega [u_\varepsilon * (v_\varepsilon - \psi_0^\varepsilon)](x) f^\varepsilon(x) dx$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
|(I)| &\leq \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|v_\varepsilon - \psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|f^\varepsilon\|_{L^{m'}(\Omega)} \\
&\leq C \|v_\varepsilon - \psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)},
\end{aligned}$$

$C > 0$ being independent of ε. It follows from (3.2) that

$$|(I)| \leq c\eta \text{ for } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \alpha. \tag{3.3}$$

Secondly, owing to Theorem 3.4, we have, as $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi_0^\varepsilon(x) f^\varepsilon(x+t)dx \to \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z} \psi_0(x,y,z) f(x+t, y+r, z+s)dxdydz$$

where $r = \lim \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $s = \lim \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ (for a suitable subsequence E' of E). So let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\Phi(t,r,s) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z} \psi_0(x,y,z) f(x+t, y+r, z+s)dxdydz,\ (t,r,s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y \times Z))$, so that the trace $\Phi^\varepsilon(t) = \Phi\left(t, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ $(t \in \mathbb{R}^N)$ is well-defined by

$$\Phi^\varepsilon(t) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z} \psi_0(x,y,z) f\left(x+t, y+\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, z+\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right) dxdydz.$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(II) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi_0^\varepsilon(x) f^\varepsilon(x+t)dx - \Phi^\varepsilon(t) \right) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t)\Phi^\varepsilon(t)dt \\ &= (II_1) + (II_2).\end{aligned}$$

Dealing with (II_1), let

$$V_\varepsilon(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi_0^\varepsilon(x) f^\varepsilon(x+t)dx - \Phi^\varepsilon(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then the following holds true:

(P1) For a.e. t, $V_\varepsilon(t) \to 0$ as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$ (possibly up to a subsequence)
(P2) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t) V_\varepsilon(t)dt \to 0$ as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$.

Indeed, for (P1), appealing to Theorem 3.4,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi_0^\varepsilon(x) f^\varepsilon(x+t)dx \to \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z} \psi_0(x,y,z) f(x+t, y+r, z+s)dxdydz \text{ as } E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$$

where r and s are such that $\mathcal{R}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}) \to r$ and $\mathcal{R}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}) \to s$ for some subsequence of E' (not relabeled). Moreover, since $\Phi^\varepsilon(t) = \Phi\left(t, \mathcal{R}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}), \mathcal{R}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2})\right)$, we have by the continuity of $\Phi(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ that, for the same subsequence,

$$\Phi^\varepsilon(t) \to \iint_{\mathbb{R}^N \times Y \times Z} \psi_0(x,y,z) f(x+t, y+r, z+s)dxdydz.$$

Thus (P1) is justified. As for (P2), first and foremost we have

$$|V_\varepsilon(t)| \le C \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

$C > 0$ being independent of t and ε. Since f and ψ_0 belong to $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y \times Z))$ we have that f^ε and ψ_0^ε lie in $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and their supports are contained in a fixed compact set of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Therefore $\psi_0^\varepsilon * f^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As a result, $V_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and further its support is contained in a fixed compact set $L \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ independent of ε.

With this in mind, let $\gamma > 0$. We infer from Egorov's theorem that there exists $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\text{meas}(\mathbb{R}^N \backslash D) < \gamma$ and V_ε converges uniformly to 0 on D. We

have the following series of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t)V_\varepsilon(t)dt\right| &\leq \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(D)}\|V_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p'}(D)} + \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus D)}\|V_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus D)}\\ &\leq C\|V_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p'}(D\cap L)} + C\text{meas}(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus D)\\ &\leq C_1\text{meas}(L)\sup_{t\in D}|V_\varepsilon(t)| + C_1\gamma\end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is independent of both ε and D. It emerges from the uniform continuity of V_ε in D that there exists $\alpha_1 > 0$ with $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha$ such that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon(t)V_\varepsilon(t)dt\right| \leq C_2\gamma \text{ provided } E' \ni \varepsilon \leq \alpha_1,$$

where $C_2 > 0$ is independent of ε. This shows (P2). We concludes that $(II_1) \to 0$ as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$.

As for (II_2),

$$\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon(t)\Phi^\varepsilon(t)dt \to \iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z} u_0(t,r,s)\Phi(t,r,s)dtdrds,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z} u_0(t,r,s)\Phi(t,r,s)dtdrds\\ =\ &\iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z} u_0(t,r,s)\left[\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^N\times Y\times Z}\psi_0(x,y,z)f(x+t,y+r,z+s)dxdydz\right]dtdrds\\ =\ &\iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z}\left[\iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z} u_0(t,r,s)\psi_0(x-t,y-r,z-s)dtdrds\right]f(x,y,z)dxdydz\\ =\ &\iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z}(u_0**\psi_0)(x,y,z)f(x,y,z)dxdydz.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is $0 < \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1$ such that

$$\left|\int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon * \psi_0^\varepsilon)f^\varepsilon dx - \iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z}(u_0**\psi_0)fdxdydz\right| \leq \frac{\eta}{2} \text{ for } E' \ni \varepsilon \leq \alpha_2. \quad (3.4)$$

Now, let $0 < \varepsilon \leq \alpha_2$ be fixed. The decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon)f^\varepsilon dx - \iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z}(u_0**v_0)fdxdydz\\ =\ &\int_\Omega [u_\varepsilon * (v_\varepsilon - \psi_0^\varepsilon)]f^\varepsilon dx + \iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z}[u_0**(\psi_0 - v_0)]fdxdydz\\ &+\int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon * \psi_0^\varepsilon)f^\varepsilon dx - \iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z}(u_0**\psi_0)fdxdydz,\end{aligned}$$

associated to (3.2)-(3.4) lead us to

$$\left|\int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon * v_\varepsilon)f^\varepsilon dx - \iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z}(u_0**v_0)fdxdydz\right| \leq C\eta \text{ for } E' \ni \varepsilon \leq \alpha_2.$$

Here C is a positive constant independent of ε. This concludes the proof. □

In the present work, we will deal with the following time-dependent version of multiscale convergence It has been for the first time considered by Holmbom [9] (see also [10, 41, 42]). It reads as: A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(Q)$ ($Q = \Omega \times (0,T)$,

$1 \leq p < \infty$) is said to weakly multiscale converge towards $u_0 \in L^p(Q \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T})$ if, as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q u_\varepsilon(x,t) f\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) dxdt \to \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} (u_0 f)(x,t,y,z,\tau) dxdtdydzd\tau$$

for all $f \in L^{p'}(Q; \mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}))$, where $\mathcal{T} = [0,1)$.

The conclusions of Theorems 3.2, 3.4 and 3.5 are still valid mutatis mutandis in the context of time-dependent multiscale convergence (change e.g. Ω into Q, $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into $L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ etc.).

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(Q)$ satisfy $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ reit. in $L^p(Q)$-weak, where $u_0 \in L^p(Q \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T})$. Define $v_\varepsilon(x,t) = u_\varepsilon(x,t+a)$ for $(x,t) \in \Omega \times (-a, T-a)$. Then $v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ reit. in $L^p(\Omega \times (-a,T-a))$-weak, where

$$v_0(x,t,y,z,\tau) = u_0(x,t+a,y,z,\tau+r), \quad (x,t,y,z,\tau) \in \Omega \times (-a,T-a) \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T},$$

the micro-translation $r \in \mathcal{T}$ being a cluster point of the sequence $(\mathcal{R}(\frac{a}{\varepsilon}))_{\varepsilon>0}$.

4. Derivation of the limiting problem

Our aim in this section is to pass to the limit in (1.1)-(1.8) as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Before we can do this, we need a few preliminary results.

4.1. **Preliminaries.** Let the functions A_i, B_i and ρ_j be as in Section 1. Assuming (**A3**) (see Section 1), we get that

$$A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}; L^2_{\rm per}(Y \times \mathcal{T})^{N^2}); \quad \rho_j \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y)) \tag{4.1}$$

$$(\chi_{Y_2}, \chi_{Z_2}) \in L^2_{\rm per}(Y) \times L^2_{\rm per}(Z) \text{ with } \langle \chi_{Y_2} \rangle > 0 \text{ and } \langle \chi_{Z_2} \rangle > 0 \tag{4.2}$$

where $\langle \chi_{Y_2} \rangle = \int_{Y_2} dy$ and $\langle \chi_{Z_2} \rangle = \int_{Z_2} dz$. Recalling that χ_j^ε $(j = 1,2)$ stands for the characteristic function of the open set Ω_j^ε, it comes from (4.2) that $\chi_j^\varepsilon \to \chi_j$ reit. in $L^2(\Omega)$-weak, where $\chi_2(y,z) = \chi_{Y_2}(y) + \big(1 - \chi_{Y_2}(y)\big)\chi_{Z_2}(z)$ and $\chi_1(y,z) = 1 - \chi_2(y,z) \equiv \big(1 - \chi_{Y_2}(y)\big)\big(1 - \chi_{Z_2}(z)\big)$. This stems from the fact that $\chi_2^\varepsilon(x) = \chi_{Y_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \big(1 - \chi_{Y_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\big)\chi_{Z_2}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ and $\chi_1^\varepsilon(x) = 1 - \chi_2^\varepsilon(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$.

The following result holds true; see e.g. [20, 40] for its proof.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(Q)$ be such that $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ reit. in $L^p(Q)$-weak. Then $\chi_j^\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \to \chi_j u_0$ reit. in $L^p(Q)$-weak.*

Let $h \in L^1(\Omega)$ and let E be an ordinary sequence. For $j = 1,2$, the sequence $(h\rho_j^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ defined by

$$(h\rho_j^\varepsilon)(x) = h(x)\rho_j\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \text{ for } x \in \Omega$$

lies in $L^1(\Omega)$ and multiscale converges weakly in $L^1(\Omega)$ towards the function $h \odot \rho_j \in L^1(\Omega; \mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y))$ defined by

$$(h \odot \rho_j)(x,y) = h(x)\rho_j(x,y) \text{ for a.e. } (x,y) \in \Omega \times Y;$$

see e.g., [19, Example 4.1]. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega h(x)\left(\chi_1^\varepsilon \rho_1^\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon \rho_2^\varepsilon\right) dx \\ \to \quad &\iiint_{\Omega\times Y\times Z} \left[\chi_1(y,z)\rho_1(x,y) + \chi_2(y,z)\rho_2(x,y)\right] h(x) dxdydz \end{aligned}$$

so that, as $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\rho^\varepsilon \to \iint_{Y\times Z} [\chi_1(y,z)\rho_1(x,y) + \chi_2(y,z)\rho_2(x,y)]\,dydz = \rho(x) \text{ in } L^\infty(\Omega)\text{-weak}*$$

(recall that $\rho^\varepsilon(x) = \chi_1(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2})\rho_1(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) + \chi_2(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2})\rho_2(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$). But

$$\rho(x) \geq \Lambda^{-1} \iint_{Y\times Z} [\chi_1(y,z) + \chi_2(y,z)]\,dydz = \Lambda^{-1} > 0.$$

Thus Proposition 2.2 applies and there exist a subsequence E' of E and a function $\boldsymbol{u}_0 \in L^2(Q)^N$ such that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon \to \boldsymbol{u}_0 \text{ in } L^2(Q)^N\text{-strong}. \tag{4.3}$$

We can extract by a diagonal process a subsequence of $(\boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E'}$ not relabeled, that weakly converges to $\boldsymbol{u}_0$ in $L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^N)$, so that $\boldsymbol{u}_0 \in L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^N)$.

Here below and in the sequel we shall use the following notation. For $\boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{w}_0, \boldsymbol{w}_1, \boldsymbol{w}_2)$ with $\boldsymbol{w}_0 \in L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^N)$, $\boldsymbol{w}_1 \in L^2(Q\times\mathcal{T};W^{1,2}_{\#}(Y)^N)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_2 \in L^2(Q\times Y\times\mathcal{T};W^{1,2}_{\#}(Z)^N)$, we set

$$\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{w} = \nabla\boldsymbol{w}_0 + \nabla_y\boldsymbol{w}_1 + \nabla_z\boldsymbol{w}_2 \equiv (\mathbb{D}_j\boldsymbol{w})_{1\leq j\leq N}$$

where $\mathbb{D}_j\boldsymbol{w} = (\mathbb{D}_j\boldsymbol{w}^k)_{1\leq k\leq N}$ and $\mathbb{D}_j\boldsymbol{w}^k = \frac{\partial w_0^k}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial w_1^k}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial w_2^k}{\partial z_j}$ for $\boldsymbol{w}_l = (w_l^k)_{1\leq k\leq N}$, $l = 0,1,2$.

Bearing this in mind, the following preliminary result holds.

Proposition 4.1. *There exist a subsequence E' of E and vector functions $(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_1) \in L^2(Q\times\mathcal{T};W^{1,2}_{\#}(Y)^N)^2$, $(\boldsymbol{u}_2, \boldsymbol{v}_2) \in L^2(Q\times Y\times\mathcal{T};W^{1,2}_{\#}(Z)^N)^2$ such that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,*

$$\chi_1^\varepsilon \nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \to \chi_1\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} \text{ reit. in } L^2(Q)^{N^2}\text{-weak} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\chi_2^\varepsilon \nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon \to \chi_2\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v} \text{ reit. in } L^2(Q)^{N^2}\text{-weak} \tag{4.5}$$

where $\boldsymbol{u}_0$ is that function defined by (4.3)*, $\boldsymbol{u} = (\boldsymbol{u}_0, \boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2)$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = (\boldsymbol{u}_0, \boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2)$.*

Proof. We deduce from Lemma 4.1 and the convergence result (4.3) that $\chi_1^\varepsilon\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon = \chi_1^\varepsilon\boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon \to \chi_1\boldsymbol{u}_0$ reit. in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, E' being the same as in (4.3). Let us start by showing (4.4). The same arguments will suffice to verify (4.5). To do this, let us set $\boldsymbol{u}_0 = (u_0^i)_{1\leq i\leq N}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon = (u_\varepsilon^i)_{1\leq i\leq N}$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq N$ arbitrarily. If we denote by $\widetilde{\nabla u_\varepsilon^i}$ the zero-extension of ∇u_ε^i on the whole Ω, then $\widetilde{\nabla u_\varepsilon^i} = \chi_1^\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon^i$. Thus the sequence $(\widetilde{\nabla u_\varepsilon^i})_{\varepsilon\in E'}$ is bounded in $L^2(Q)^N$, and hence there exist a subsequence of E' (that we still denote by E') and a function $w^i \in L^2(Q\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T})^N$ such that $\widetilde{\nabla u_\varepsilon^i} \to w^i$ reit. in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. In view of Lemma 4.1, we have $\chi_1^\varepsilon\nabla u_\varepsilon^i \to \chi_1 w^i$ reit. in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. It follows that $w^i = \chi_1 w^i$. Now, let $\Phi \in (\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\text{per}}(Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}))^N$ be such that $\operatorname{div}_y\Phi = \operatorname{div}_z\Phi = 0$ and $\Phi(x,t,y,z,\tau) = 0$ for $y \in Y_2$ or $z \in Z_2$ and $\Phi\cdot\nu_1 = 0$. Then

$$\int_Q \chi_1^\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon^i \cdot \Phi^\varepsilon dxdt = -\int_Q \chi_1^\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^i (\operatorname{div}\Phi)^\varepsilon dxdt$$

and letting $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$ yields

$$\iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} (w^i - \chi_1\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0)\cdot\Phi dxdtdydzd\tau = 0$$

for all $\Phi \in (\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}))^N$ satisfying $\mathrm{div}_y\,\Phi = \mathrm{div}_z\,\Phi = 0$ and $\Phi(x,t,y,z,\tau)=0$ for $y\in Y_2$ or $z\in Z_2$. Lemma 4.14 of [2] entails the existence of $u_1^i \in L^2(Q\times\mathcal{T}; W^{1,2}_{\#}(Y))$ and $u_2^i \in L^2(Q\times Y\times\mathcal{T}; W^{1,2}_{\#}(Z))$ such that $w^i = (\nabla u_0^i + \nabla_y u_1^i + \nabla_z u_2^i)\chi_1$. Setting $\boldsymbol{u}_1 = (u_1^i)_{1\le i\le N}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_2 = (u_2^i)_{1\le i\le N}$, (4.4) holds true. □

4.2. **Homogenization result.** Assume that the functions $\boldsymbol{u}_0, \boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2, \boldsymbol{v}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_2$ are as in Proposition 4.1. Let $\psi_0 = (\psi_0^k)_{1\le k\le N} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N$, $\psi_1 = (\psi_{1,k})_{1\le k\le N}, \phi_1 = (\phi_{1,k})_{1\le k\le N} \in (\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T}))^N$ and $\psi_2 = (\psi_{2,k})_{1\le k\le N}, \phi_2 = (\phi_{2,k})_{1\le k\le N} \in (\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}))^N$ be such that $\psi_1(x,t,y,\tau)=0$ for $y\in Y_2$, $\phi_1(x,t,y,\tau) = 0$ for $y\in Y\backslash Y_2$, $\psi_2(x,t,y,z,\tau)=0$ for $y\in Y_2$ or $z\in Z_2$ and $\phi_2(x,t,y,z,\tau)=0$ for $y\in Y\backslash Y_2$ and $z\in Z\backslash Z_2$. Set $\Psi=(\psi_0,\psi_1,\psi_2)$ and $\Phi=(\psi_0,\phi_1,\phi_2)$, and define $\Psi_\varepsilon = \psi_0 + \varepsilon\psi_1^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\psi_2^\varepsilon$ and $\Phi_\varepsilon = \psi_0 + \varepsilon\phi_1^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\phi_2^\varepsilon$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_\varepsilon(x,t) &= \psi_0(x,t) + \varepsilon\psi_1\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^2\psi_2\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right),\\
\Phi_\varepsilon(x,t) &= \psi_0(x,t) + \varepsilon\phi_1\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^2\phi_2\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\\
\text{for } (x,t) &\in Q.
\end{aligned}$$

Then Ψ_ε and $\Phi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N$ and further $\gamma_1^\varepsilon\Psi_\varepsilon = \gamma_2^\varepsilon\Phi_\varepsilon$ on Γ_{12}^ε (we have in fact $\varepsilon\psi_1^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\psi_2^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega_1^\varepsilon\times(0,T))^N$ and $\varepsilon\phi_1^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\phi_2^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega_2^\varepsilon\times(0,T))^N$) and $\gamma_1^\varepsilon\Psi_\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial\Omega_1^\varepsilon\cap\partial\Omega$, $\gamma_2^\varepsilon\Phi_\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial\Omega_2^\varepsilon\cap\partial\Omega$. Thus the vector-function $(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Phi_\varepsilon)$ can be taken as a test function in the variational form of (1.1)-(1.8):

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_Q\left(\chi_1^\varepsilon\rho_1^\varepsilon\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\frac{\partial\Psi_\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \chi_2^\varepsilon\rho_2^\varepsilon\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon\cdot\frac{\partial\Phi_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right)dxdt \qquad (4.6)\\
&\quad+\int_Q\chi_1^\varepsilon(A_0^\varepsilon\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon + A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)\cdot\nabla\Psi_\varepsilon dxdt\\
&\quad+\int_Q\chi_2^\varepsilon(B_0^\varepsilon\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon + B_1^\varepsilon * \nabla\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon)\cdot\nabla\Phi_\varepsilon dxdt\\
&\quad-\int_Q(\chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon\,\mathrm{div}\,\Psi_\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon\,\mathrm{div}\,\Phi_\varepsilon)dxdt\\
=&\int_Q(\chi_1^\varepsilon\rho_1^\varepsilon f_1\cdot\Psi_1^\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon\rho_2^\varepsilon f_2\cdot\Phi_\varepsilon)dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to pass to the limit in (4.6) as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$ (E' being as in Proposition 4.1). Considering the first integral term in the left-hand side of (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_Q\left(\chi_1^\varepsilon\rho_1^\varepsilon\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon\cdot\frac{\partial\Psi_\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \chi_2^\varepsilon\rho_2^\varepsilon\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon\cdot\frac{\partial\Phi_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\right)dxdt\\
\to&\int_Q\left(\iint_{Y\times Z}(\chi_1\rho_1+\chi_2\rho_2)dydz\right)\boldsymbol{u}_0\cdot\frac{\partial\psi_0}{\partial t}dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

This stems from (4.3) associated to Lemma 4.1. Next, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla\Psi_\varepsilon &\to \mathbb{D}\Psi \text{ reit. in } L^2(Q)^{N^2}\text{-strong},\\
\nabla\Phi_\varepsilon &\to \mathbb{D}\Phi \text{ reit. in } L^2(Q)^{N^2}\text{-strong}.
\end{aligned}$$

Appealing to (4.4)-(4.5), it follows from a suitable statement of Corollary 3.1 that

$$\chi_1^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Psi_\varepsilon \to \chi_1 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \mathbb{D}\Psi \text{ reit. in } L^2(Q)^{N^2}\text{-weak}$$

and

$$\chi_2^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Phi_\varepsilon \to \chi_2 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \mathbb{D}\Phi \text{ reit. in } L^2(Q)^{N^2}\text{-weak.}$$

Thus using A_0 and B_0 as test functions entails

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Q (\chi_1^\varepsilon A_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Psi_\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon B_0^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Phi_\varepsilon) dxdt \\ \to \quad &\iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} (\chi_1 A_0 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \mathbb{D}\Psi + \chi_2 B_0 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \mathbb{D}\Phi) dxdtdydzd\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for the terms involving convolution, it is a fact that $A_1^\varepsilon \to A_1$ reit. in $L^1(Q)^{N^2}$-strong. Thus, owing to Theorem 3.5 we get

$$A_1^\varepsilon * \chi_1^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \to A_1 * *\chi_1 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} \text{ reit. in } L^2(Q)^{N^2}\text{-weak.}$$

We also have

$$B_1^\varepsilon * \chi_2^\varepsilon \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon \to B_1 * *\chi_2 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v} \text{ reit. in } L^2(Q)^{N^2}\text{-weak.}$$

Therefore the same reasoning conducted before leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Q [\chi_1^\varepsilon (A_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla \Psi_\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon (B_1^\varepsilon * \nabla \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla \Phi_\varepsilon] dxdt \\ \to \quad &\iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} [\chi_1 (A_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}) \cdot \mathbb{D}\Psi + \chi_2 (B_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v}) \cdot \mathbb{D}\Phi] dxdtdydzd\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now, dealing with the terms with pressure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Q (\chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon \operatorname{div} \Psi_\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon \operatorname{div} \Phi_\varepsilon) dxdt \\ &\quad = \int_Q \pi_\varepsilon \operatorname{div} \psi_0 dxdt + \int_Q (\chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div}_y \psi_1)^\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div}_y \phi_1)^\varepsilon)\, dxdt \\ &\qquad + \int_Q (\chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div}_z \psi_2)^\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div}_z \phi_2)^\varepsilon)\, dxdt \\ &\qquad + \varepsilon \int_Q (\chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div} \psi_1)^\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div} \phi_1)^\varepsilon)\, dxdt \\ &\qquad\quad + \varepsilon^2 \int_Q (\chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div} \psi_2)^\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div} \phi_2)^\varepsilon)\, dxdt \end{aligned}$$

where div_y (resp. div_z) stands for the divergence operator in $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^N_z$), and $(\operatorname{div}_y \psi_1)^\varepsilon$ and $(\operatorname{div}_y \phi_1)^\varepsilon$ are defined for $(x,t) \in Q$ by

$$(\operatorname{div}_y \psi_1)^\varepsilon(x,t) = (\operatorname{div}_y \psi_1)\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right),\ (\operatorname{div}_y \phi_1)^\varepsilon(x,t) = (\operatorname{div}_y \phi_1)\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

and where

$$\pi_\varepsilon = \chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon \tag{4.7}$$

is the global pressure. By virtue of (2.8), the sequence $(\pi_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E'}$ is bounded in $L^2(Q)$, so that there exist $p \in L^2(Q)$, $p_1, q_1 \in L^2(Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T})$ such that, up to

a subsequence of E', $\pi_\varepsilon \to p$ in $L^2(Q)$-weak, $\chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon \to p_1$ reit. in $L^2(Q)$-weak and $\chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon \to q_1$ reit. in $L^2(Q)$-weak. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_Q (\chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon \operatorname{div} \Psi_\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon \operatorname{div} \Phi_\varepsilon) dxdt \\
\to \quad &\int_Q p \operatorname{div} \psi_0 dxdt + \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} (\chi_1 p_1 \operatorname{div}_y \psi_1 + \chi_2 q_1 \operatorname{div}_y \phi_1)\, dxdtdydzd\tau \\
&\qquad + \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} (\chi_1 p_1 \operatorname{div}_z \psi_2 + \chi_2 q_1 \operatorname{div}_z \phi_2)\, dxdtdydzd\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\int_Q (\chi_1^\varepsilon \rho_1^\varepsilon f_1 \cdot \Psi_1^\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon \rho_2^\varepsilon f_2 \cdot \Phi_\varepsilon) dxdt \to \int_Q \left(\iint_{Y\times Z} (\chi_1 \rho_1 f_1 + \chi_2 \rho_2 f_2) dydz \right) \cdot \psi_0 dxdt.$$

In order to formulate the result that we have just proved, we note that $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon = 0$ in Ω since $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon = 0$ in Ω_1^ε and $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon = 0$ in Ω_2^ε. This implies that $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0$ in Ω, $\operatorname{div}_y \boldsymbol{u}_1 = 0$ in $Y\backslash Y_2$, $\operatorname{div}_z \boldsymbol{u}_2 = 0$ if $y \notin Y_2$ and $z \notin Z_2$, $\operatorname{div}_y \boldsymbol{v}_1 = 0$ in Y_2 and $\operatorname{div}_z \boldsymbol{v}_2 = 0$ if $y \in Y_2$ or $z \in Z_2$. So we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{F}^1 = \{(\boldsymbol{u}_0, \boldsymbol{u}_1, v_1, u_2, v_2) \in F^1 : \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,\ \operatorname{div}_y \boldsymbol{u}_1 = 0 \text{ in } Y\backslash Y_2, \\
\operatorname{div}_y \boldsymbol{v}_1 = 0 \text{ in } Y_2,\ \operatorname{div}_z \boldsymbol{u}_2 = 0 \text{ in } Y\times Z\backslash(Y_2\times Z_2) \text{ and } \operatorname{div}_z \boldsymbol{v}_2 = 0 \text{ in} \\
\{(y,z) \in Y\times Z : y \in Y_2 \text{ or } z \in Z_2\}\};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\rho(x) = \iint_{Y\times Z} [\chi_1(y,z)\rho_1(x,y) + \chi_2(y,z)\rho_2(x,y)] dydz, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega} \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}(x,t) = \iint_{Y\times Z} (\chi_1(y,z)\rho_1(x,y)f_1(x,t) + \chi_2(y,z)\rho_2(x,y)f_2(x,t)) dydz, \text{ a.e. } (x,t) \in Q$$

where

$$F^1 = L^2(0,T; H_0^1(\Omega)^N) \times [L^2(Q\times \mathcal{T}; W^{1,2}_{\#}(Y)]^2 \times [L^2(Q\times Y\times \mathcal{T}; W^{1,2}_{\#}(Z)]^2$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^\infty = \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N \times [(\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q) \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\text{per}}(Y\times \mathcal{T}))^N]^2 \times [(\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q) \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\text{per}}(Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}))^N]^2$$

its smooth counterpart. We have that $\rho \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(Q)^N$. We have just proved the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *The vector function* $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, \boldsymbol{u}_1, v_1, u_2, v_2) \in \mathbb{F}^1$ *solves the variational problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\int_Q \rho \boldsymbol{u}_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_0}{\partial t} dxdt + \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} \chi_1 [A_0 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + A_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}] \cdot \mathbb{D}\Psi dxdtdydzd\tau \\ \quad + \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} \chi_2 [B_0 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v} + B_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v}] \cdot \mathbb{D}\Phi dxdtdydzd\tau \\ -\int_Q p \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 dxdt - \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} (\chi_1 p_1 \operatorname{div}_y \psi_1 + \chi_2 q_1 \operatorname{div}_y \phi_1) dxdtdydzd\tau \\ -\iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} (\chi_1 p_1 \operatorname{div}_z \psi_2 + \chi_2 q_1 \operatorname{div}_z \phi_2) dxdtdydzd\tau = \int_Q \mathbf{f} \cdot \psi_0 dxdt \\ \text{for all } (\psi_0, \psi_1, \phi_1, \psi_2, \phi_2) \in \mathcal{F}^\infty \text{ with } \psi_1 = 0 \text{ for } y \in Y_2, \\ \phi_1 = 0 \text{ for } y \in Y \backslash Y_2,\ \psi_2 = 0 \text{ for } y \in Y_2 \text{ or } z \in Z_2 \text{ and } \phi_2 = 0 \text{ for } y \notin Y_2 \\ \text{and } z \notin Z_2. \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

Our next purpose is to find the equation satisfied by the function $\boldsymbol{u}_0$. To do so, we need to construct the *effective homogenized viscosity tensor*. Before we can do that, let us however recall that the functions χ_1 and χ_2 are expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi_2(y,z) = \chi_{Y_2}(y) + (1 - \chi_{Y_2}(y))\chi_{Z_2}(z) \\ &\chi_1(y,z) = 1 - \chi_2(y,z) = (1 - \chi_{Y_2}(y))(1 - \chi_{Z_2}(z)) \text{ for } (y,z) \in Y \times Z. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

With this in mind, if in (4.9) we choose consecutively the functions $(\psi_0, \psi_1, \phi_1, \psi_2, \phi_2) \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$ such that: 1) $\psi_1 = \phi_1 = \psi_2 = \phi_2 = 0$, 2) $\psi_0 = \phi_1 = \psi_2 = \phi_2 = 0$, 3) $\psi_0 = \psi_1 = \phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$ and 4) $\psi_0 = \psi_1 = \phi_1 = \psi_2 = 0$, then we get the system consisting of problems (4.11)-(4.15) below:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_Q \rho \boldsymbol{u}_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_0}{\partial t} dxdt + \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} \chi_1 (A_0 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + A_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}) \cdot \nabla \psi_0 dxdtdydzd\tau \\ &+ \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} \chi_2 (B_0 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v} + B_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v}) \cdot \nabla \phi_0 dxdtdydzd\tau - \int_Q p \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 dxdt \\ &\quad = \int_Q \mathbf{f} \cdot \psi_0 dxdt \text{ for all } \psi_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N, \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

$$\begin{cases} \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} \chi_1 (A_0 \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + A_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}) \cdot \nabla_y \psi_1 dxdtdydzd\tau \\ -\iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times \mathcal{T}} \chi_1 p_1 \operatorname{div}_y \psi_1 dxdtdydzd\tau = 0 \\ \text{for all } \psi_1 \in (\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y \times \mathcal{T}))^N \text{ with } \psi_1 = 0 \text{ for } y \in Y_2, \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

$$\begin{cases} \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} \chi_1 \left(A_0\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + A_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}\right)\cdot\nabla_z\psi_2 dxdtdydzd\tau \\ -\iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} \chi_1 p_1 \operatorname{div}_z\psi_2 dxdtdydzd\tau = 0 \\ \text{for all } \psi_2 \in (\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}^\infty(Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}))^N \text{ with } \psi_2 = 0 \\ \text{for } y\in Y_2 \text{ or } z\in Z_2, \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

$$\begin{cases} \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} \chi_2 \left(B_0\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v} + B_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{v}\right)\cdot\nabla_y\phi_1 dxdtdydzd\tau \\ -\iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} \chi_2 q_1 \operatorname{div}_y\phi_1 dxdtdydzd\tau = 0 \\ \text{for all } \phi_1 \in (\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}^\infty(Y\times\mathcal{T}))^N \text{ with } \phi_1 = 0 \text{ for } y\in Y\backslash Y_2, \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} \chi_2 \left(B_0\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + B_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u}\right)\cdot\nabla_z\phi_2 dxdtdydzd\tau \\ -\iiint_{Q\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} \chi_2 q_1 \operatorname{div}_z\phi_2 dxdtdydzd\tau = 0 \\ \text{for all } \phi_2 \in (\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)\otimes\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}^\infty(Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}))^N \text{ with } \phi_2 = 0 \\ \text{for } y\notin Y_2 \text{ and } z\notin Z_2. \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

Conversely, since (4.11)-(4.15) are made of linear equations, summing them up we get (4.9). Thus, (4.9) is equivalent to (4.11)-(4.15).

This being so, let us observe that the problems (4.12) (resp. (4.13)) and (4.14) (resp. (4.15)) are very similar, so that the analysis that will be made for the couple (4.12)-(4.13), will be exactly the same for the couple (4.14)-(4.15), and will therefore be omitted for the latter couple. With this in mind, let us first and foremost deal with (4.13). If in (4.13) we choose $\psi_2(x,t,y,z,\tau) = \varphi(x,t)\theta(y)\boldsymbol{w}(z)\chi(\tau)$ with $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)$, $\theta\in\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}^\infty(Y)$, $\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}^\infty(Z)^N$, $\chi\in\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}^\infty(\mathcal{T})$ and $\theta = 0$ in Y_2 or $\boldsymbol{w} = 0$ in Z_2, then (4.13) becomes (owing to (4.10))

$$\iint_{Y\times Z}(1-\chi_{Y_2}(y))(1-\chi_{Z_2}(z))[(A_0\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + A_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u})\cdot\nabla_z\boldsymbol{w} - p_1\operatorname{div}_z\boldsymbol{w}]\theta dydz = 0$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_{Z\backslash Z_2}(A_0\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u} + A_1 * *\mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{u})\cdot\nabla_z\boldsymbol{w}dz - \int_{Z\backslash Z_2} p_1\operatorname{div}_z\boldsymbol{w}dz = 0 \text{ a.e. in } Q\times(Y\backslash Y_2)\times\mathcal{T}. \tag{4.16}$$

This being so, let $\mathcal{V}_{2,\operatorname{div}_z} = \{\psi\in\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}^\infty(Z)^N : \operatorname{div}_z\psi = 0 \text{ in } Z\backslash Z_2 \text{ and } \psi = 0 \text{ in } Z_2\}$, and define the space $\mathbb{B}_{\rm per}^{1,2}(Z\backslash Z_2)$ to be the strong closure in $W_{\rm per}^{1,2}(Z)^N$ of $\mathcal{V}_{2,\operatorname{div}_z}$. Next, let $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^{N^2}$ and consider the variational cell problem for $\boldsymbol{u}_2$:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u^2(\xi)\in\mathbb{B}_{\rm per}^{1,2}(Z\backslash Z_2) \text{ such that} \\ \int_{Z\backslash Z_2}\left(A_0[\xi+\nabla_z u^2(\xi)] + A_1 * *(\xi+\nabla_z u^2(\xi))\right)\cdot\nabla_z\boldsymbol{w}dz = 0 \\ \text{for all } \boldsymbol{w}\in\mathcal{V}_{2,\operatorname{div}_z}. \end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

Then (4.17) is the equivalent version of (4.16) but with test functions $\boldsymbol{w}$ taken in $\mathcal{V}_{2,\mathrm{div}_z}$. In view of the properties of the matrices A_0 and A_1, if we proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, then we infer from [24, Theorem 3.2] the existence of $u^2(\xi)$ solution (4.17) which is unique up to an additive constant. On the other hand, if in (4.17) we choose $\xi = \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0(x,t) + \nabla_y \boldsymbol{u}_1(x,t,y,\tau)$ for a fixed $(x,t,y,\tau) \in Q \times (Y\backslash Y_2) \times \mathcal{T}$ and compare the resulting equation with (4.16) (for test functions taken in $\mathcal{V}_{2,\mathrm{div}_z}$), then we get from the uniqueness argument that $\boldsymbol{u}_2 = u^2(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \nabla_y \boldsymbol{u}_1)$, where the right-hand side of the preceding equality stands for the function $(x,t,y,\tau) \mapsto u^2(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0(x,t) + \nabla_y \boldsymbol{u}_1(x,t,y,\tau))$ from $Q \times (Y\backslash Y_2) \times \mathcal{T}$ into $\mathbb{B}^{1,2}_{\mathrm{per}}(Z\backslash Z_2)$.

Let us now consider the variational problem for (4.12). If we define the matrices C_0 and C_1 by setting (for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N^2}$)

$$C_0\xi = \int_Z (1-\chi_{Z_2}(z)) A_0(\xi + \nabla_z u^2(\xi))dz$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C_1\xi &= \int_Z (1-\chi_{Z_2}(z))(A_1 **(\xi + \nabla_z u^2(\xi)))dz \\ &\equiv A_1 ** \int_Z (1-\chi_{Z_2}(z))(\xi + \nabla_z u^2(\xi))dz \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $(x,t,y,\tau) \in Q \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau}$, then we see that $\boldsymbol{u}_1(x,t,\cdot,\tau)$ is the solution to the equation

$$\begin{cases} \int_Y (1-\chi_{Y_2}(y))[C_0[\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \nabla_y \boldsymbol{u}_1] + C_1 **(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \nabla_y \boldsymbol{u}_1] \cdot \nabla_y \boldsymbol{w} dy = 0 \\ \text{for all } \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathcal{V}_{1,\mathrm{div}_y} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathrm{per}}(Y)^N : \mathrm{div}_z\, \psi = 0 \text{ in } Y\backslash Y_2 \text{ and } \psi = 0 \text{ in } Y_2\}. \end{cases}$$

So, by fixing once again $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N^2}$, a similar study conducted for (4.12) reveals that the cell problem

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u^1(\xi) \in \mathbb{B}^{1,2}_{\mathrm{per}}(Y\backslash Y_2) \text{ such that} \\ \int_{Y\backslash Y_2} \left(C_0[\xi + \nabla_y u^1(\xi)] + C_1 **(\xi + \nabla_y u^1(\xi))\right) \cdot \nabla_y \boldsymbol{w} dz = 0 \\ \text{for all } \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathcal{V}_{1,\mathrm{div}_y} \end{cases}$$

possesses a unique solution in $\mathbb{B}^{1,2}_{\mathrm{per}}(Y\backslash Y_2)$ (the strong closure in $W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{per}}(Y)^N$ of $\mathcal{V}_{1,\mathrm{div}_y}$) up to a constant. One also obtains that $\boldsymbol{u}_1 = u^1(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0)$ in $Q \times \mathcal{T}$. We also set, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N^2}$ and $(x,t) \in Q$,

$$D_0\xi = \int_{Y\times\mathcal{T}} (1-\chi_{Y_2}(y)) C_0[\xi + \nabla_y u^1(\xi)]dyd\tau, \tag{4.18}$$

$$D_1\xi = \int_{Y\times\mathcal{T}} (1-\chi_{Y_2}(y)) C_1[\xi + \nabla_y u^1(\xi)]dyd\tau. \tag{4.19}$$

Then we easily see that, for $(x,t) \in Q$,

$$D_0\xi = \iint_{Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} \chi_1 A_0[\xi + \nabla_y u^1(\xi)] + \nabla_z u^2(\xi + \nabla_y u^1(\xi))dydzd\tau$$

and

$$D_1\xi = \iint_{Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} \chi_1 \left\{A_1 * *(\xi + \nabla_y u^1(\xi)) + \nabla_z u^2(\xi + \nabla_y u^1(\xi))\right\} dydzd\tau.$$

Similar arguments used for (4.14) and (4.15) lead to the existence of unique $v^1(\xi)$ and $v^2(\xi)$ (for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N^2}$), solutions to the cell problems for (4.14) and (4.15) respectively, so that $\boldsymbol{v}_2 = v^2(\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_0 + \nabla_y \boldsymbol{v}_1)$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_1 = v^1(\nabla \boldsymbol{v}_0)$. We also define the corresponding homogenized matrices

$$E_0\xi = \iint_{Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} \chi_2 B_0[\xi + \nabla_y v^1(\xi)] + \nabla_z v^2(\xi + \nabla_y v^1(\xi))dydzd\tau,\ (x,t) \in Q$$

and

$$E_1\xi = \iint_{Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} \chi_2[B_1 * *(\xi + \nabla_y v^1(\xi)) + \nabla_z v^2(\xi + \nabla_y v^1(\xi))]dydzd\tau,\ (x,t) \in Q.$$

It is worth noticing that the matrices D_0 and D_1 (and the same for E_0 and E_1) are defined by $D_0 = (d^0_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le N}$, $D_1 = (d^1_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le N}$ where the d^0_{ij} and d^1_{ij} are obtained by choosing in (4.18) and (4.19) $\xi = (\delta_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le N}$ (the identity matrix), δ_{ij} being the Kronecker delta. Finally, set $\mathcal{A}_0 = D_0 + E_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 = D_1 + E_1$, that is, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N^2}$, $\mathcal{A}_0\xi = D_0\xi + E_0\xi$ and $\mathcal{A}_1\xi = D_1\xi + E_1\xi$. Set also

$$m_c = \int_{Y_2} dy \text{ and } m_p = \int_{Z_2} dz.$$

The positive constants m_c and m_p are the porosity of the crack and pore spaces respectively. The function ρ defined by (4.8) is the effective homogenized density while the matrices $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_1$ are the effective homogenized elasticity tensors which depend continuously on $(x,t) \in Q$ as seen in the next result whose easy and classical proof is left to the reader.

Proposition 4.2. *It holds that*

(i) $\mathcal{A}_i$ $(i = 0, 1)$ *are symmetric and further* $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathcal{C}(Q)^{N^2}$*;*
(ii) $\mathcal{A}_0\lambda\cdot\lambda \ge \alpha\,|\lambda|^2$ *for all* $(x,t) \in Q$ *and all* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$*, where* α *is the same as in assumption* (**A1**)*;*
(iii) $\rho \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$ *and further* $\Lambda^{-1} \le \rho(x) \le \Lambda$ *for all* $x \in \overline{\Omega}$*.*

Now we consider the anisotropic nonlocal Stokes system

$$\begin{cases} \rho\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_0}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(\mathcal{A}_0\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_1(x,t-\tau)\nabla\boldsymbol{u}_0(x,\tau)d\tau\right) + \nabla p = \mathbf{f} \text{ in } Q \\ \operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0 \text{ in } Q \\ \boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\times(0,T) \\ \boldsymbol{u}_0(x,0) = (1-m_c)(1-m_p)\boldsymbol{u}^0(x) + (m_c + m_p(1-m_c))\boldsymbol{v}^0(x),\ x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{4.20}$$

In (4.20) the function $\boldsymbol{u}_0$ is the strong limit of the global velocity field $\boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon = \chi_1^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon$ while p is the weak limit of the global pressure $\pi_\varepsilon = \chi_1^\varepsilon p_\varepsilon + \chi_2^\varepsilon q_\varepsilon$. Moreover, since $\int_{\Omega_1^\varepsilon} p_\varepsilon dx = \int_{\Omega_2^\varepsilon} q_\varepsilon dx = 0$, we have $\int_\Omega \pi_\varepsilon dx = 0$, so that $\int_\Omega p dx = 0$.

Now, in view of (i)-(iii) in Proposition 4.2 and owing to the fact that $\int_\Omega p dx = 0$, we can argue as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 to show that Problem (4.20) possesses a

unique solution $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, p)$ such that $\boldsymbol{u}_0 \in L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega)^N)$ and $p \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R})$ where $L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}$ stands for the space of $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ satisfying $\int_\Omega v dx = 0$. We can therefore state the main homogenization result.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume* (**A1**)-(**A3**) *hold. For any* $\varepsilon > 0$, *let* $\boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon$ *(resp.* $\boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon$*), the velocity field of the fluid in* Ω_1^ε *(resp.* Ω_2^ε*) be given by the system* (1.1)-(1.8). *Let* π_ε *be the global pressure given by* (4.7). *There exist* $\boldsymbol{u} \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N)$ *– the velocity of the fluid in the skeleton,* $\boldsymbol{v} \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N)$ *– the velocity of the fluid in the pores and cracks system, and* $p \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R})$ *such that, as* $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\chi_1^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \to \boldsymbol{u}$ *in* $L^2(Q)^N$*-weak,* $\chi_2^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon \to \boldsymbol{v}$ *in* $L^2(Q)^N$*-weak and* $\pi_\varepsilon \to p$ *in* $L^2(Q)$*-weak. Moreover* $\boldsymbol{u} = (1-m_c)(1-m_p)\boldsymbol{u}_0$ *and* $\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}_c + \boldsymbol{v}_p$ *where* $\boldsymbol{v}_c = m_c \boldsymbol{u}_0$ *is the velocity of the fluid in the crack space and* $\boldsymbol{v}_p = (1-m_c)m_p \boldsymbol{u}_0$ *is the velocity of the fluid in the pore space, and* m_p *(resp.* m_c*) is the porosity of the pore (resp. crack) space and* $(\boldsymbol{u}_0, p)$ *is the unique solution to Problem* (4.20).

Proof. First, if we substitute in (4.11) $\boldsymbol{u}_1 = u^1(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0)$, $\boldsymbol{u}_2 = u^2(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \nabla_y \boldsymbol{u}_1)$, $\boldsymbol{v}_1 = v^1(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0)$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_2 = v^2(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \nabla_y \boldsymbol{v}_1)$, we get, after mere computations, the variational formulation of (4.20). Moreover, owing to the uniqueness of the solution to (4.20), we infer that the whole sequence $(\boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon, \pi_\varepsilon)$ (where $\boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon$ is the global velocity field defined by (2.10)) converges as $\varepsilon \to 0$, in the following way: $\boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon \to \boldsymbol{u}_0$ in $L^2(Q)^N$-strong and $\pi_\varepsilon \to p$ in $L^2(Q)$-weak. Second, because of both Lemma 4.1 and the convergence result (4.3), we have $\chi_1^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon = \chi_1^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon \to \chi_1 \boldsymbol{u}_0$ reit. in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak when $\varepsilon \to 0$, hence $\chi_1^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon \to \left(\iint_{Y\times Z} \chi_1 dydz\right) \boldsymbol{u}_0$ in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak, and

$$\iint_{Y\times Z} \chi_1 dydz = (1-m_c)(1-m_p).$$

Also, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\chi_2^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon = \chi_2^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}^\varepsilon \to \chi_2 \boldsymbol{u}_0$ reit. in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak, hence $\chi_2^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon \to \left(\iint_{Y\times Z} \chi_2 dydz\right) \boldsymbol{u}_0$ in $L^2(Q)^N$-weak, and

$$\iint_{Y\times Z} \chi_2 dydz = m_c + (1-m_c)m_p.$$

We may therefore set $\boldsymbol{u} = (1-m_c)(1-m_p)\boldsymbol{u}_0$, $\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}_c + \boldsymbol{v}_p$ with $\boldsymbol{v}_c = m_c \boldsymbol{u}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_p = (1-m_c)m_p \boldsymbol{u}_0$. The fact that $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ belong to $L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N)$ follows from both the boundedness of the sequences $(\chi_1^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ and $(\chi_2^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^N)$ (see Lemma 2.2; see especially (2.6) therein) and uniqueness of the weak limit. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 4.1. We see from the statement of Theorem 4.2 that the limiting velocity in skeleton as well as in pores and cracks are both proportional.

References

[1] Agranovich, Y., Sobolevskii, P.: Investigation of viscoelastic fluid mathematical model. RAC. Ukranian SSR. Ser. A **10**, 71–74 (1989)

[2] Allaire, G., Briane, M.: Multiscale convergence and reiterated homogenization. Proc. R. Soc. Edingurgh, Sect. A **126**, 297–342 (1996)

[3] Arbogast, T., Douglas Jr, J., Hornung, H.: Derivation of the double porosity model of single phase flow via homogenization theory. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21**, 823–836 (1990)

[4] Barenblatt, G.I. , Zheltov, I.P., Kochina, I.N.: Basic concepts in the theory of seepage of homogeneous liquids in fissured rocks (strata). J. Appl. Math. Mech. **24**, 1286–1303 (1960)

[5] Cioranescu, D., Saint Jean Paulin, J.: Homogenization of reticulated structures. Springer, New York (1999)

[6] Coats, K.H., Smith, B.D.: Dead-end pore volume and dipersion in porous media. Trans. Soc. Petr. Engin. **231**, 73–84 (1964)
[7] Douanla, H., Woukeng, J. L.: Almost periodic homogenization of a generalized Ladyzhenskaya model for incompresible viscous flow. J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **189**, 431–458 (2013)
[8] Douanla, H.Nguetseng, G., Woukeng, J.L.: Incompressible viscous Newtonian flow in a fissured medium of general deterministic type. J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **191**, 214–242 (2013)
[9] Holmbom, A.: Homogenization of parabolic equations: an alternative approach and some corrector-type results. Appl. Math. **42**, 321–343 (1997)
[10] Holmbom, A., Svanstedt, N., Wellander, N.: Multiscale convergence and reiterated homogenization of parabolic problems. Appl. Math. **50**, 131–151 (2005)
[11] Huyakorn, P.S., Pinder, G.F.: Computational Methods in Subsurface Flow. Academic Press, New York (1983)
[12] Joseph, D.D.: Fluid dynamics of viscoelastic liquids. Springer Verlag, New York (1990)
[13] Kazemi, H.: Pressure transient analysis of naturally fractured reservoirs with uniform fracture distribution. Soc. Pet. Eng. J. **9**, 451–462 (1969)
[14] Lions, J.-L., Lukkassen, D., Persson, L. E., Wall, P.: Reiterated homogenization of monotone operators. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **330**, 675–680 (2000)
[15] Lions, J.-L., Lukkassen, D., Persson, L. E., Wall, P.: Reiterated homogenization of nonlinear monotone operators. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **22**, 1–12 (2001)
[16] Lukkassen, D., Nguetseng, G., Wall, P.: Two-scale convergence. Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **2**, 35–86 (2002)
[17] Meirmanov, A.: Double porosity models for liquid filtration in incompressible poroelastic media. Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci. **20**, 635–659 (2010)
[18] Meirmanov, A.: Equations of liquid filtration in double porosity media as a reiterated homogenization of Stokes equations. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **278**, 152–160 (2012)
[19] Nguetseng, G.: Homogenization structures and applications I. Z. Anal. Anwen. **22**, 73–107 (2003)
[20] Nguetseng, G.: Homogenization in perforated domains beyond the periodic setting. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **289**, 608–628 (2004)
[21] Nguetseng, G., Sango, M., Woukeng, J.L.: Reiterated ergodic algebras and applications. Commun. Math. Phys. **300**, 835–876 (2010)
[22] Odeh, A.S.: Unsteady-state behavior of naturally fractured reservoirs. Soc. Pet. Eng. J. **5**, 60–66 (1965)
[23] Oldroyd, J.G.: Non-Newtonian flow of liquids and solids. Rheology: Theory and Applications, vol. I (F. R. Eirich ed.), New York: AP., pp. 653–682 (1956)
[24] Orlik, J.: Existence and stability estimate for the solution of the ageing hereditary linear viscoelasticity problem, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2009**, 1–19 (2009)
[25] Oskolkov, A.P.: Initial boundary value problems for the equations of motion of Kelvin–Voigt fluids and Oldroyd fluids. Proceed. Steklov Inst. Math. **2**, 137–182 (1989)
[26] Oskolkov, A.P., Akhmatov, M. M., Cotsiolis, A.A.: Equations of the motion of linear viscoelastic fluids and the equations of the filtration of fluids with delay. J. Soviet Math. **49**, 1203–1206 (1990)
[27] Paes-leme, P.J., Douglas, J., Kischinhevsky, M., Spagnuolo, A.M.: A multiple-porosity model for a single-phase flow through naturally fractured porous media. Comput. Appl. Math. **1**, 19–48 (1998)
[28] Lieb, E.H., Seiringer, R., Yngvason, J.: Poincaré inequalities in punctured domains. Ann. Math. **158**, 1067–1080 (2003)
[29] Panfilov, M., Rasoulzadeh, M.: Appearance of the nonlinearity from the nonlocality in diffusion through multiscale fractured porous media. Comput. Geosci. **17**, 269–286 (2013)
[30] Peszyńska, M., Showalter, R.E.: Multiscale elliptic-parabolic systems for flow and transport. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **2007**, 1–30 (2007)
[31] Peszyńska, M., Showalter, R.E., Yi, S.-Y.: Homogenization of a pseudoparabolic system. Appl. Anal. **88**, 1265–1282 (2009)
[32] Sango, M., Woukeng, J.L.: Stochastic Σ-convergence and applications. Dynamics of PDE **8**, 261–310 (2011)
[33] Shi, P., Spagnuolo, A. M., Wright, S.: Reiterated homogenization and the double-porosity model. Transp. Porous Media **59**, 73–95 (2005)

[34] Showalter, R.E., Visarraga, D.B.: Double-diffusion models from a highly-heterogeneous medium. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **295**, 191–201 (2004)
[35] Sobolevskii, P.: Stabilization of viscoelastic fluid motion (Oldroyd's mathematical model). Differ. Integral Equ. **7**, 1579–1621 (1994)
[36] Spagnuolo, A.M., Wright, S.: Derivation of a multiple-porosity model of single-phase flow through a fractured porous medium via recursive homogenization. Asymptot. Anal. **39**, 91–112 (2004)
[37] Temam, R.: Navier-Stokes equations: Theory and numerical analysis. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1984)
[38] Visintin, A.: Towards a two-scale calculus. ESAIM: Cont. Optim. Cal. Var. **12**, 371–397 (2006)
[39] Warren, J.E., Root, P.J.: The behavior of naturally fractured reservoirs. Soc. Petro. Eng. J. **3**, 245–255 (1963)
[40] Woukeng, J.L.: Homogenization of nonlinear degenerate non-monotone elliptic operators in domains perforated with tiny holes. Acta Appl. Math. **112**, 35–68 (2010)
[41] Woukeng, J.L.: Reiterated homogenization of nonlinear pseudo monotone degenerate parabolic operators, Commun. Math. Anal. **9**, 98–129 (2010)
[42] Woukeng, J.L.: Σ-convergence and reiterated homogenization of nonlinear parabolic operators. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **9**, 1753–1789 (2010)
[43] Woukeng, J.L.: Introverted algebras with mean value and applications. Nonlin. Anal. **99**, 190–215 (2014)
[44] Woukeng, J.L.: Linearized viscoelastic Oldroyd fluid motion in almost periodic environment. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., online in Wiley Online Library, DOI: 10.1002/mma.3026 (2013)
[45] Yeh, L.M.: On two-phase flow in fractured media. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **12**, 1075–1107 (2002)
[46] Yi, S.-Y., Peszyńska, M., Showalter, R.E.: Numerical upscaled model of transport with non-separable scale. XVIII Intern. Conf. on Water Resources CMWR 2010, J. Carrera (Ed), CIMNE, Barcelona, pp. 1–8 (2010)

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Dschang, P.O. Box 67, Dschang, Cameroon
E-mail address: jwoukeng@yahoo.fr